UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/508,942	10/01/2004	Mi-Sun Sung	MUHAN1.001APC	7555
20995 7590 02/12/2009 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP 2040 MAIN STREET FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614			EXAMINER	
			ZIEGLE, STEPHANIE M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3692	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/12/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

Interview Summary	10/508,942	SUNG, MI-SUN				
merview dammary	Examiner Art Ui					
	STEPHANIE ZIEGLE	3692				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>STEPHANIE ZIEGLE</u> .	(3) <u>Donald Min (Reg # 47,7</u>	<u>796)</u> .				
(2) <u>Jennifer Liversedge</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>04 February 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>18, 32 and 37</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Karas et al (US 2002/0138363).						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	J/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Independent claims 18, 32, and 37 were discussed on how they are different then the prior art of Karas. Proposed changes to the claims were also discussed about how to overcome the prior art of record. The 101 and 112 rejections were also discussed. Examiner will review the attorney's official response to the office action, and respond accordingly.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/Stephanie Ziegle/ Examiner, Art Unit 3692	/Kambiz Abdi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3692				

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3692